	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
	David Boies (admitted pro hac vice) 333 Main Street	William C. Carmody (admitted pro hac vice)	
	Armonk, NY 10504	Shawn J. Rabin (admitted pro hac vice) Steven M. Shepard (admitted pro hac vice)	
	Tel: (914) 749-8200	Alexander Frawley (admitted pro hac vice)	
	dboies@bsfllp.com	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor	
	Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165	New York, NY 10019 Tel.: (212) 336-8330	
	Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com	
	Erika Nyborg-Burch, CA Bar No. 342125	srabin@susmangodfrey.com	
	44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor	sshepard@susmangodfrey.com afrawley@susmangodfrey.com	
	San Francisco, CA 94104	Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891	
	Tel.: (415) 293-6800 mmao@bsfllp.com	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400	
	brichardson@bsfllp.com	Los Angeles, CA 90067	
	enyborg-burch@bsfllp.com	Tel.: (310) 789-3100 abonn@susmangodfrey.com	
	James Lee (admitted pro hac vice)	MORGAN & MORGAN	
	Rossana Baeza (admitted pro hac vice)	John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice)	
	100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor Miami, FL 33131	Ryan J. McGee (admitted pro hac vice)	
	Tel.: (305) 539-8400	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602	
	jlee@bsfllp.com	Tel.: (813) 223-5505	
	rbaeza@bsfllp.com	jyanchunis@forthepeople.com	
	Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334	rmcgee@forthepeople.com	
	725 S Figueroa St., 31st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805	
	Tel.: (213) 995-5720	711 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94102	
	alanderson@bsfllp.com	Tel: (415) 358-6913	
		mram@forthepeople.com	
	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	Case No.: 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK	
	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO	DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER	
	individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated,	FRAWLEY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE	
	Plaintiffs,	NON-RETAINED EMPLOYEE-EXPERT	
	V.	DECLARATIONS FOR WHOM GOOGI PROVIDED NO EXPERT REPORT	
	GOOGLE LLC,	Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers Date: September 27, 2022	
	Defendant.	Date: September 27, 2022	
- 11	A larrandon lineary larra Dool ICO Disentiffa? No	Iotion to Strike Non-Retained Employee-Exp	

I, Alexander Frawley, declare as follows.

DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER FRAWLEY

2

1

3 4

5

6 7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- I am an associate with the law firm of Susman Godfrey L.L.P., counsel for Plaintiffs in this matter. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice *pro hac vice* before this
- Court. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and am competent to testify.
- 2. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Non-Retained Employee-Expert Declarations For Whom Google Provided No Expert Report.
- 3. In early 2021, Google identified Dr. Glenn Berntson as a potential document custodian, and Dr. Berntson became a custodian. Plaintiffs did not at any point select any of Mr. Levitte, Mr. Ganem, or Mr. McPhie to be among their 42 allotted document custodians. By the time Plaintiffs were required to make their final selections, Google had not indicated that it intended to rely on any of those three employees.
- 4. Plaintiffs took two Rule 30(b)(6) depositions during the course of discovery as to which Google designated Mr. Berntson to testify. And pursuant to a Court order, Plaintiffs ultimately deposed Mr. Levitte on March 15, 2022, and Mr. Ganem on March 23, 2022, following Google's addition of those employees to their amended disclosures. Plaintiffs did not have an expert report from any of these witnesses prior to taking their depositions (nor any facts, data, or documents the witnesses may use to support their opinions). And all of these depositions occurred prior to Google completing its productions of data under the Special Master process. And Plaintiffs never deposed Mr. McPhie, whom Google had never identified as a witness on whom it intended to rely in any Rule 26 disclosures.
- 5. On June 17, 2022, Google asked Plaintiffs to schedule depositions of Plaintiffs' six experts. Those depositions were ultimately completed between July 6 and August 2. Plaintiffs similarly sought to depose Google's experts between August 8, 2022 (the first business day after the deadline for Google's class certification opposition brief) and August 26, 2022 (the deadline for Plaintiffs' reply brief). As such, on July 12, 2022, Plaintiffs asked Google to supply potential deposition dates during that window. Google offered dates and Plaintiffs accepted them. Google

at that time did not say anything about its apparent plan to submit additional declarations from non-retained experts who had never submitted expert reports.

- 6. Plaintiffs deposed all five of Google's retained experts between August 16, 2022 and August 22, 2022, including Dr. Konstantinos Psounis on August 19.
- 7. Google served no Rule 26 expert reports from any of George Levitte, Glenn Berntson, Steve Ganem, or Jonathan McPhie at any point in time.
- 8. A search of Google's more than six-million-page document production confirms that only one document mentions both and Mr. Levitte's name—and it was dated nearly a year before the that is the basis of Plainiffs' damages expert's Mr. Lasinski's unjust enrichment calculation.
- 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct excerpt of the transcript from the February 11, 2022 Case Management Conference in this case.
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of Google's April 15, 2022 Disclosure of Percipient Witnesses with Technical Expertise Who May Testify at Trial.
- 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of email communications between Google's counsel and me, dated May 5, 2022 to May 11, 2022.
- 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of Defendant's Initial Disclosures Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).
- 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of Google's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Requests for Production Nos. 11 and 12.
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of a document Google produced in discovery labeled GOOG-BRWN-00023909.
- 15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 7** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Interrogatory No. 4.
- 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 8** is a true and correct copy of Google's Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory No. 4.
 - 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 9** is a true and correct copy of Google's Supplemental 2

 Alexander Frawley's Decl. ISO Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Non-Retained Employee-Expert Declarations For Whom Google Provided No Expert Report 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

1	Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory No. 4.	
2	18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of Google's Amended	
3	Disclosures Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).	
4	19. Attached as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct excerpt from the transcript of the	
5	March 15, 2022 deposition of Google employee George Levitte.	
6		
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
8	foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 23rd day of August, 2022, at New York, New York.	
9	/s/ Alexander Frawley	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	3	
	Alexander Frawley's Decl. ISO Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Non-Retained Employee-Expert	